

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
/2004	Kazutoshi Higashiyama	A8319.0013/P013-A	1223
12/29/2005		EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP		WILLS, MONIQUE M	
		ARTINIT	PAPER NUMBER
		ARTONII	I AI EK NOMBEK
		1746	
	12/29/2005	/2004 Kazutoshi Higashiyama	72004 Kazutoshi Higashiyama A8319.0013/P013-A 12/29/2005 EXAM MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP WILLS, MO ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/806,455	HIGASHIYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Monique M. Wills	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (136(a)). In no event, however, may a repty be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/1	<u>6/05</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	· ·		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,5 and 16-24 is/are pending in the a	pplication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 16-24</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2004 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)		(DTO 140)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed November 16, 2005. The rejection of claims 1 & 16-24 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5-8 & 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,890,673 is overcome. However, claims 1,5 & 16-24 are rejected under

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5 would be allowable over the prior art of record, because the prior art is silent to a hydrogen producing device for supplying at least one type of material to a reaction part together with air, oxygen or an oxidizing agent to produce hydrogen including selecting from n_1 pre-set values a flow rate which was previously determined corresponding to required hydrogen production volumes; and for air, oxygen or oxidizing agent, supply amount of air, oxygen or oxidizing agent is set by selecting one from predetermined n_2 pre-set values of flow rates, where n_1 is smaller than n_2 .

Application/Control Number: 10/806,455 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

Claim 1 would be allowable over the prior art of record, because the prior art does not disclose the use of two or more on/off valves to control the flow of reactants within a fuel cell system, to provide preset flows.

Claims 16-24 would be allowable based on their dependence to claims 1 and 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1,5 & 16-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "predetermined" is of uncertain meaning, rendering the claims vague and indefinite. It is unclear as to what values the term "predetermined" refers to.

Art Unit: 1746

Response to Arguments

Page 4

Applicant's arguments, see page 2, filed November 16, 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 & 16-24 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 5-8 & 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,890,673 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection of claims 1, 5 & 16-24 is made under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Monique Wills whose telephone number is (571) 272-1309. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, may be reached at 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,455 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through
Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see
http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.Should you have questions on access to the
Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MW

12/22/05

FRANKIE L. STINSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 34007